Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Journal of Biomechanics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jbiomech

Brain trauma exposure for American tackle football players 5 to 9 and 9 to 14 years of age

J. Cournoyer^{a,*}, C. Karton^a, D. Koncan^a, M.D. Gilchrist^b, R.C. Cantu^{c,d,e,f,g}, T.B. Hoshizaki^a

^a University of Ottawa, School of Human Kinetics, Ottawa, Canada

^b University College Dublin, School of Mechanical and Materials Engineering

^c Boston University Alzheimer's Disease and Chronic Encephalopathy Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

^d Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

^e Department of neurosurgery, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

^f Department of neurosurgery, Emerson Hospital, Concord, MA, USA

^g Concussion Legacy Foundation, Boston, MA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keyword: Brain trauma Biomechanics Helmet Testing standard

ABSTRACT

American football helmets used by youth players are currently designed and tested to the same standards as professionals. The National Operating Committee on Standard and Safety requested research aiming at understanding the differences in brain trauma in youth American football for players aged five to nine and nine to fourteen years old to inform a youth specific American football standard. Video analysis and laboratory reconstructions of head impacts were undertaken to measure differences in head impact frequency, event types, and magnitudes of maximum principal strain (MPS) for the two age groups. Overall frequencies and frequencies for five categories of MPS representing different magnitudes of risk were tabulated. The MPS categories were very low (<0.08), low (0.08-0.169), medium (0.17-0.259), high (0.26-0.349) and very high (>0.35). Both cohorts experienced a majority of head impacts (>56%) at very low magnitude of MPS. Youth American football players aged 9-14 yrs. sustained a greater frequency of head impacts at MPS between 0.08 and 0.169 % associated with changes in brain structure and function. There were no differences in overall frequency, or in freguency of head impacts in other categories of MPS. The proportion of impacts considered injurious (MPS > 0.08) was greater in the 5-9 group (44%), than the 9-14 group (39%), and impacts above 0.35 % were only reported for the younger age group. The larger helmet-to-shoulder ratio in the younger age groups may have contributed to this finding suggesting that youth American football players under the age of nine would benefit from a childspecific football helmet.

1. Introduction

Repetitive head impacts (RHI) in American football are associated with a variety of neurological impairments including but not limited to changes in brain structures (Alosco, et al., 2018; Stamm, et al., 2015b), neuropsychiatric, and executive function impairments (Alosco, et al., 2017), and chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) (Mez, et al., 2017). In youth American football players, associations between RHI and changes in white matter have been reported in athletes as young as seven years old (Bahrami, et al., 2016; Foss, et al., 2019). Researchers investigating the age of first exposure to tackle football in former professionals report an association between exposure prior to the age of 12 and an increased risk for poor long-term neuropsychological and cognitive outcomes (Alosco, et al., 2017; Stamm, et al., 2015a), decreased thalamic volume (Schultz, et al., 2018) and changes in white matter structures (Stamm, et al., 2015b). In high school football players, changes in functional connectivity and brain metabolism were observed as a result of RHIs (Abbas, et al., 2015a; Abbas, et al., 2015b; Poole et al, 2015). The growing concern for brain health in youth American football players has called for increased safety measures including an ageappropriate helmet. The National Operating Committee on Sport Safety Equipment (NOCSAE) funded research aimed at understanding differences in brain trauma in youth American football for players aged five to nine and nine to fourteen years old to inform a youth specific American football standard.

While youth football may appear to be similar to adult football, there

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* jcournoy@uottawa.ca (J. Cournoyer).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2021.110689 Accepted 9 August 2021 Available online 13 August 2021 0021-9290/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. are important differences including the frequency, magnitude, and type of head impact events. With increased age, athletic and technical skills improve resulting in an increase in frequency and severity of head impacts (Cobb, et al., 2013; Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014; Young, Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014). Larger head-to-body ratio and weaker necks are implicated in the ability of youth to control their head and helmet during impacts, increasing their risk for brain trauma (Eckner, Oh, Joshi, Richardson, & Ashton-Miller, 2014; Vickers & Stuart, 1943). All American football helmets, including youth helmets, are presently designed and tested to the same standards. This research is intended to describe brain trauma experienced by different age groups to inform design and certification requirements for a youth-specific football helmet standard.

Brain trauma risk in sport is typically measured by the incidence of reported concussions. Reporting rates for concussion are inconsistent and low in general (McCrea, Hammeke, Olsen, Leo, & Guskiewicz, 2004; Meehan, Mannix, O'Brien, & Collins, 2013) especially in youth sport where there are seldom medical professionals at practices and games (Rizzone, Diamond, & Gregory, 2013). Youth athletes do not have the necessary skills and knowledge to recognize symptoms and report concussions (Gourley et al., 2010; McCrea et al., 2004). In some cases, headimpact sensors are used to measure the frequency and magnitudes of head impacts experienced by players. Although head impact sensors are useful to quantify head impact frequency, obtaining accurate magnitude measurements is challenging, especially for rotational motion (Jadischke, Viano, Dau, King, & McCarthy, 2013). Peak linear and rotational acceleration are useful in establishing head protection standards but limited in providing injury risk. Brain tissue deformation such as maximum principal strain (MPS) obtained using laboratory reconstructions and finite element modeling of head impacts provides a better measure of brain tissue trauma (Cournoyer & Hoshizaki, 2019b; Kleiven, 2007; Patton, McIntosh, & Kleiven, 2013; Post, et al., 2015; Zhang, Yang, & King, 2004). Head impacts and their frequency can then be classified according to levels of MPS representing different risks associated with brain injuries, termed brain trauma exposure. This method of categorizing frequency according to ranges of MPS was successful at identifying three principal brain trauma exposure player position profile in professional American football and associated with clinical outcomes and playing positions (Karton, Hoshizaki, & Gilchrist, 2020).

The purpose of this research was to compare brain trauma exposure of youth American football players for two age groups, five to nine (5–9) and nine to fourteen (9–14) year-olds. Video analysis, laboratory reconstructions, and finite element modeling of documented head impacts were used to provide information to inform a youth specific helmet standard.

2. Methods

2.1. Video analysis

A total of 60 youth American football games including players from

five to 14 years old were analyzed to determine the frequency and catalog the necessary information to perform the laboratory event reconstructions. The videos were divided into two categories: age 5–9 and 9–14 years. Thirty teams for each age group were analysed by documenting the head impacts for 7 players from one of the two teams on the field (1 quarterback, 1 running back 1 wide receiver, 1 offensive lineman, 1 defensive lineman, 1 linebacker, and 1 defensive back). This was done to manage the video analysis time to 8–10 h/game. A breakdown of the skill levels and ages of the videos analyzed is provided in Table 1.

The player chosen for each position varied between games (when possible) to ensure a fair representation of all players on the field. For example, for offensive linemen, the position followed varied between center, guard, and tackle to capture the full range of possibilities at those positions. Each of the seven player/positions chosen were followed during the entirety of a football play to document each head impact. In case of substitution, the player taking the initial player's place would be followed.

Confirmed head impacts were defined as a contact to the head resulting in head acceleration (movement). To avoid overestimation of the frequency count, impacts that were partially obstructed or for which the impact location could not be identified through video analysis were labelled as suspected impacts and were not included in the analysis. Every head impact recorded was reviewed by a senior researcher experienced in American football video analysis. The following information was cataloged to inform the laboratory reconstructions: event type, impact location, and impact velocity. Event types consisted of head-to-head, head-to-shoulder, head-to-ground, head-to-hip/thigh, head-to-back/torso, head-to-knee/shin, or head-to-arm/hand. Impact locations were classified as front, front boss, side, rear boss, rear, and crown (Fig. 1) and according to vertical placement into three heights: center of gravity (COG), above and below COG.

The velocity of each impact was visually assessed and categorized as very low (0-2 m/s), low (2-4 m/s), moderate (4-6 m/s), or high (6 m/s +). Impact velocity was confirmed when possible using Kinovea (version 0.8.20, Bordeaux, France) by determining the distance between the head and the impacting surface a set time prior to the impact (between 0.12 and 0.20 s) and dividing it by the time to impact. Impact velocity was calculated when the following criteria were met: 1) A calibration grid could be placed on the playing surface to accurately determine distances 2) the head and the impacting surface could be seen without obstruction in the desired time frame and 3) the impact occurred in a view that was orthogonal to the camera angle to decrease the measurement errors (Post, et al., 2018). The inclusion criteria to calculate the velocity using Kinovea were met for 145 impacts out of 1395 confirmed impacts (10.4%) and matched the visual category assessment in 85.5% of cases (Cronbach alpha = 0.923). In the 14.5% for which the visual category assessment did not match Kinovea, the actual velocity calculation with Kinovea was within 0.2 m/s of the category assigned visually. The velocities recorded were consistent with previous research reporting impact velocities for these age groups (Campolettano, Gellner, & Rowson, 2018). Overall, the method for visual

Table 1

Breakdown of youth football games included in the video analysis of the 5–9 and 9–14 age groups.

			•	00	-			
Age group	Deague		Category	State	Age	Weight range (kg)	Number of gan	ies
5–9	Pop warne	r	Tiny mite	California	5–7	15.9-34.0	4	
			Junior Mitey-Mite		7–8	N/A	8	
			Mitey-Mite		7–9	20.4-45.4	18	
	Total						30	
9–14	Middle sch	lool football	N/A	Virginia	12-14	N/A	6	
	Youth Foo	tball League	Seniors	Virginia	11-13	38.5-61.2	7	
	Youth Foo	tball League	Juniors	Virginia	9–11	26.7-49.9	3	
	Pop warne	er	Junior Varsity	California	10-12	40.8–70.3	9	
	Pop warne	r	Pee Wee	California	9–11	34–58.9	5	
	Total						30	



Fig. 1. Impact locations used for video analysis.

assessment for velocity category was deemed accurate for the purpose of this research.

2.2. Laboratory reconstructions

Impact frequency was calculated for each condition (events \times impact location \times vertical placement \times impact velocity category). Head-to-head, head-to-ground, and head-to-shoulder events were selected for laboratory reconstructions as they made up 91% (682) and 85% (535) of all impacts in the 5–9 and the 9–14 age groups respectively. The impact conditions for which a frequency (>0) was observed were reconstructed in laboratory using a small NOCSAE (red) head form as both age groups have head circumference dimensions that correspond to this head form (Nelhaus, 1968). The head form was fitted with 9 accelerometers arranged in a 3–2–2–2 array to obtain linear and rotational acceleration–time curves (Padgaonkar, Krieger, & King, 1975) as well as an appropriately-sized and fitted youth American football helmet. DTS TDAS pro and a CFC 180 filter were used to process the acceleration time curves. The median value of velocity categories used in the video

analysis was used for each category, with impacts reconstructed at 1.0 m/s, 3.0 m/s and 5.0 m/s, and all impacts included for analysis were within 0.1 m/s of the target velocity. Head-to-ground impacts were conducted as free drops using a monorail drop rig without a neck. The neck was not used as it was limiting the number of impact locations that could be replicated. Free drops are performed by using a halo that supports the headform while reaching the desired height and is then released with the headform. The halo is designed to continue is trajectory while the headform hits the anvil, and therefore not interfering with head kinematics. The head form was dropped onto a steel anvil covered by a foam surrogate composed of 2.3 cm of VN 600 on top of 5 cm of VN 602 to replicate the compliance of a sample of artificial turf (ACT Global sports turf, Austin, Texas, USA) to allow the halo to move freely around the anvil. Fig. 2 displays the comparison of the surrogate anvil to a sample of artificial turf for linear and rotational acceleration time-curves at 3 and 4.5 m/s.

Head-to-head impacts were reconstructed using the small NOCSAE headform fitted with an appropriately sized helmet as a pendulum (impacting mass = 5.2 kg). Head-to-shoulder impacts were done using a



Fig. 2. Comparison of linear and rotational acceleration time curves for falls on a surrogate turf anvil and a sample of artificial turf.

pendulum fitted with 7 cm of VN 602 foam and a youth American football shoulder pad (Rousseau & Hoshizaki, 2015). The impacting mass for head-to-shoulder impacts were determined using a percentage of average body mass for the median age of each group (Rousseau & Hoshizaki, 2015). The impacting masses for the reconstructions were 3.8 and 6.8 kg for the 5–9 and 9–14 age groups respectively. A 50th percentile unbiased neck that limits directional bias was used for both age groups (Walsh et al., 2018). An age-specific unbiased neck could not be used could not be used with the NOCSAE headform as its center of mass is located slightly anteriorly to the neck and would cause the entire system to lean forward. Fig. 3 shows an example of the reconstruction methods for each impact event type.

A finite element model designed for 6 year-olds was used to obtain the peak maximum principal strain (MPS) for the impacts that were reported for the 5–9 age group. The 6 year-old model has 169,849 elements and the brain is modeled as hyperelastic. The brain material properties and validation are described by Koncan et al. (2019). The University College Dublin Brain Trauma Model (UCDBTM) (Horgan & Gilchrist, 2003) was used for the 9-14 age group. The UCDBTM was scaled to 96% of its original size to match the head circumference of an 11.5 year old, the median age of this group (Nelhaus, 1968). The UCDBTM has 32,994 elements and is modelled as viscoelastic. The brain material properties for the UCDBTM are reported by Horgan & Gilchrist (2003). The two finite element models are expected to give different brain responses for similar loading conditions as they used different brain tissue and model characteristics (Horgan & Gilchrist, 2003; Koncan et al, 2019). Different finite element models were used for the comparison between the two age groups to account for differences in brain material properties between the two age groups. The difference in magnitudes of brain tissue deformation between the two models is dependent on loading conditions (Koncan et al, 2019).

Maximum principal strain is a brain deformation metric used to determine the severity of a head impact and its magnitude has been shown to associate with specific clinical outcomes such as asymptomatic impacts (Zanetti, et al., 2013); concussions (Kleiven, 2007; Patton, McIntosh, & Kleiven, 2013; Rousseau & Hoshizaki, 2014; Zhang, Yang, & King, 2004) loss of consciousness and impact seizures (Cournover & Hoshizaki, 2019a; Cournoyer & Hoshizaki, 2019b), and persistent postconcussion syndrome (Post, et al., 2015). Categories of MPS were developed to attribute a relative risk value to the impact conditions to these clinical outcomes as described by Karton et al. (2020). The categories were established using research involving laboratory reconstructions of head impact events and anatomical tissue analysis. The moderate category of MPS (0.17-0.269) represents reported values for 50% risk of concussion (Bain & Meaney, 2000; Kleiven, 2007; Zhang, Yang, & King, 2004). The high category of strain (0.27-0.345) was established to represent a high risk of concussive injury as established by the average reported values for concussions (Patton et al., 2013; Rousseau & Hoshizaki, 2014). The very high category includes all impacts with strains in excess of 0.35 and is associated with severe clinical outcomes such as persistent symptoms, loss of consciousness and impact seizures (Cournoyer & Hoshizaki, 2019a; Cournoyer & Hoshizaki, 2019b; Post, et al., 2015). Strains below the moderate category were classified as very low (Ahmadzadeh, Smith, & Shenoy, 2015; Maxwell et al., 1997; Singh et al., 2006; Yuen et al., 2009; Karton et al., 2016) and low (Oliver, et al., 2016; Zanetti, et al., 2013), and represent asymptomatic head impacts. The methodology used for this study was approved by the Ethics Review Board of the University of Ottawa (H-03–18-509).

2.3. Statistical analysis

A Shapiro-Wilk test determined the data was not normally distributed. Mann-Whitney U tests were performed to determine differences in the overall frequency per game, and the frequency by category of MPS ($\alpha = 0.05$).

3. Results

There was a total of 590 head impacts over 30 games (210 player games) for an average of 19.7 ± 10.7 impacts per game in the 5–9 year age group and 805 impacts were recorded for an average of 26.8 ± 15.3 impacts per game for the 9–14 year age group. The overall frequency of confirmed and suspected head impacts for each player position is presented in table 2. Only the confirmed impacts were used in the statistical comparison. There was no significant difference between the two age groups (p = 0.053) in head impact frequency per game. The distribution of head impacts according to head impact events is shown in Fig. 4.

The overall distribution of head impacts by magnitude of MPS for all 30 games for both age groups is displayed in Fig. 5A. The total number of impacts, the impact velocity and peak linear and rotational acceleration associated with each level of MPS is presented in Table 3. The majority of impacts experienced by youth American football players were of MPS magnitude lower than 0.08 with 57% and 60% for the 5–9 and the 9–14 age groups respectively. For the 5–9 year-olds, 24 % of head impacts were categorized as low, 17% as moderate, and 1% for both high and very high MPS categories. In the 9–14 age group, 29% of impacts were categorized as low, 10% as moderate, and 1% as high. There were no reported impacts in the very high category for this age group.

The 9–14 year-olds experienced a significantly greater frequency of

Table 2

Overall frequency per player position. The number in parenthesis represented the number of suspected impacts documented.

Overall fr	equency	per playe	r position	for 30 ga	mes of yo	outh Amer	ican tackl	le football
	QB	RB	WR	OL	DL	LB	DB	Total
5–9 year- olds	90 (24)	127 (42)	25 (10)	70 (32)	117 (39)	91 (25)	70 (26)	590 (198)
9–14	70	185	47	151	175	119	58	805
year-	(33)	(48)	(6)	(32)	(34)	(46)	(19)	(218)



Fig. 3. Methods used for reconstructions. Left: Monorail drop rig with turf anvil for head-to-ground impacts. Center: Small NOCSAE head form and helmet as a pendulum for head-to-head impacts. Right: Pendulum with VN nitrile foam and a shoulder pad for head-to-shoulder impacts.



Head-to-shoulder Other

Fig. 4. Distribution of head impacts in youth American football players by event types. The "other" category includes head-to-torso/back, head-to-hip/thigh, head-to-arm/hand, and head-to-knee/shin.



Fig. 5. A. Frequency of head impact by category of MPS for youth American football players aged 5–9 and 9–14 years old. The numbers in the legend (in brackets) represent the range of MPS for each category. 5B Distribution of head impact events in the low, moderate, high, and very high category of MPS for 5–9 and 9–14 years old youth American football players.

Table 3
otal number of impacts, impact velocity, mean peak linear and rotational acceleration for each category of MP

Category of MPS	5–9 year-olds Number of impacts	Mean impact velocity	Mean peak lin. acc.	Mean peak rot. acc.	9–14 year-olds Number of impacts	Mean impact velocity	Mean peak lin. acc.	Mean peak rot. acc.
Very Low (<0.08)	298	1.5 ± 0.9	11.3 ± 12.3	547 ± 317	413	1.3 ± 0.7	7.3 ± 4.6	420 ± 170
Low (0.08-0.169)	125	3.0 ± 0.8	20.2 ± 18.5	1140 ± 650	193	2.9 ± 0.7	$\textbf{23.4} \pm \textbf{17.1}$	1298 ± 604
Moderate	92	3.2 ± 0.6	31.8 ± 14.6	1840 ± 610	70	$\textbf{4.1} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	$\textbf{32.1} \pm \textbf{21.3}$	2037 ± 780
(0.17-0.259)								
High (0.26-0.0349)	17	4.3 ± 1.2	$\textbf{43.8} \pm \textbf{11.2}$	3368 ± 560	6	5.0 ± 0	$\textbf{37.8} \pm \textbf{19.8}$	3860 ± 1178
Very High (>0.35)	3	5.0 ± 0	$\textbf{47.8} \pm \textbf{26.5}$	2766 ± 780	0	-	-	-

impacts per game in the low category of MPS. There was no statistically significant difference detected between the two groups for any of the other MPS categories. The average number of impacts per games in each category of MPS and the *p*-value from the Mann-Whitney U tests are shown in Table 4. Statistical significance is demonstrated in bold.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to compare the overall head impact frequency per game, and the frequency per game in each of the MPS categories for youth American football players aged 5–9 and 9–14 years old. The frequency of head impacts in the low MPS category was

Table 4

Comparisons of the average frequency of head impacts per game in each category of MPS.

Category of MPS	Average freque (SD) 5–9 year-olds	p-value	
Very Low (<0.08)	10.2 (7.3)	13.7 (9.1)	0.104
Low (0.08–0.169)	4.3 (2.7)	6.6 (4.7)	0.030
Moderate (0.17–0.259)	3.1 (2.4)	2.3 (3.0)	0.074
High (0.26–0.0349)	0.1 (0.4)	0.2 (0.4)	0.236
Very High (>0.35)	0.1 (0.3)	0.0 (0.0)	0.119

significantly different between the two groups, with the 9-14 year-olds experiencing a greater impact frequency (p = 0.030). Impacts in this category of strain represented approximately one quarter to one third of the head impacts reported in this study. Although impacts of this magnitude are not commonly associated with diagnosed concussions (Kleiven, 2007; Zhang, Yang, & King, 2004), they are important to document as they are associated with acutely asymptomatic injuries leading to changes in brain structures and cognitive impairments (Bahrami, et al., 2016; Breedlove et al., 2014; Tsushima, Geling, Arnold, & Oshiro, 2016). When compared with studies using sensors to measure head impact frequencies for the same age groups, the frequencies reported in this manuscript are about 50% lower, even when considering suspected impacts (Cobb, et al., 2013; Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014; Young, Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014). This is likely due to a difference in methodology with video analysis resulting in more conservative values.

While not statistically significant, the frequency of head impacts in the moderate category was greater in the younger age group (p = 0.073). Impacts in this category of strain represent a risk for concussions (Kleiven, 2007; Zhang, Yang, & King, 2004) and may reflect differences in event types experienced by the younger age group. Understanding how youth football players sustain brain trauma is important in the development of a helmet testing standard. Fig. 5B displays the frequency of impacts caused by head-to-ground, head-to-head, and head-to-shoulder events for the low, moderate, high, and very high category of strain.

For both groups, about one quarter of all overall impacts were caused by falls (head-to-ground) with younger players sustaining a greater proportion of head-to-ground impacts at higher impact velocities when compared to their older counterparts. The 9–14 age groups sustained the

vast majority (69%) of their head-to-ground impacts at velocities below 2 m/s, whereas 49% of head-to-ground impacts observed for the 5-9 year-olds were in the 2-4 m/s velocity category. This may reflect their inability to control the inertia of the helmeted head during a fall contributing to greater magnitudes of MPS. Almost half of all impacts experienced by younger athletes were head-to-head, as opposed to 32% in the 9-14 age group. In contrast, the 5-9 year-olds sustained fewer head-to-shoulder impacts with 14% as opposed to 29%. This may be explained by the differences in head-to-body ratio between the two groups (Vickers & Stuart, 1943). In youth athletes, the head represents a greater proportion of the body, which is increased by wearing a helmet (Fig. 6). The proportion of the circumference of the head in relation to chest circumference in youth are 92% and 84% for 50th percentile 6 and 10 year-olds respectively (Vickers & Stuart, 1943). Fig. 5B demonstrates that head impacts in the moderate, high, and very high categories of MPS in the 5-9 age group are solely the results of head-to-ground, and head-to-head impacts explaining the greater frequency of head impacts in the moderate and very high category in this group since these impacts were characterized by greater magnitudes of MPS when compared to head-to-shoulder impacts. American football players aged 5–9 vrs may be at greater risk of concussion than their older counterparts due to the increased frequency of head-to-head impacts and greater falling velocities documented for the 5-9 year-olds. Youth American football players under 9 years old may benefit from a child-specific American football helmet, smaller in size and lower in mass, to decrease the frequency of head-to-head impacts and help them manage the inertia of their head during falls resulting in fewer impacts at higher magnitudes of MPS. In addition, the mean peak linear and rotational acceleration documented in this study are lower than the current standard for American football helmet and were consistent with previous literature using sensors (Cobb, et al, 2013; Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014; Young, Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014). It may be beneficial to consider lowering the pass/fail criteria for child-specific helmet to protect against the magnitudes of impacts sustained by players in this age group.

Impacts below 0.08 MPS represented by the very low category was not included in this evaluation as there is little evidence to suggest that impacts at this level are detrimental to brain health in adults. Changes in brain structure and function associated with youth football have been reported in the literature (Alosco, et al., 2017; Bahrami, et al., 2016; Foss, et al., 2019; Schultz, et al., 2018; Stamm, et al., 2015a; Stamm, et al., 2015b) supporting the need for research investigating the effects of these impacts on brain health in youth athletes as they represented



Fig. 6. Differences in helmet-to-shoulder width ratio between youth American football players aged 5-9 (left) and 9-14 years old (right).

grater than 57% of all impacts collected.

4.1. Limitations

Using video analysis to determine head impact frequency in youth American football is challenging. The lack of multiple camera angles and the style of play in youth football keeping players in close proximity created difficulty in detecting head impacts. Head impacts were recorded only when the reviewer could confirm without a doubt that there was a contact with the head that resulted in motion, and only if impact location could be confirmed. Therefore, the absolute head impact frequencies presented in this study are conservative when compared to research using helmet accelerometers for similar age groups (Cobb, et al., 2013; Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014; Young, Daniel, Rowson, & Duma, 2014). However, given the high number of impacts recorded during the analysis, the overall distribution of head impacts according to relative risk is unlikely to be impacted by this limitation.

Unlike the impacts sustained by the younger age group, the impact magnitudes for the 9-14 year-olds were calculated using a scaled finite element model of an adult model and did not include age-specific brain material properties. No finite element model currently exists for 9-14 vear old boys however the brain material properties of children aged 9-14 do not significantly differ to adults (Chatelin, Vappou, Roth, Raul, & Willinger, 2012). Similarly, the small NOCSAE head form was developed to match the mass and shape of a 50th percentile 10-year old male (NOCSAE, 2011). In this study, it was used for both groups since it represented the range of head circumferences of both age groups (Nelhaus, 1968). Similarly, a 50th percentile unbiased neckform was used to reconstruct head-to-head and head-to-shoulder impacts for both age groups. This may have masked differences in head kinematics and affecting the maximum principal strain values. A recent study suggested that the influence of neck stiffness had minimal effect on kinematics and brain tissue deformation in collisions impacts in youth American football (Cournoyer et al., 2021). The influence of neck strength may have a greater influence in prevention of head-to-ground impacts or reducing the final head impact velocity. This hypothesis is supported by the greater frequency of impacts at higher impact velocity of head-toground impacts in the younger age group. In this study, head-toground impacts were reconstructed without the use of a neckform to allow for more accurate impact conditions (impact locations and angles). More research is needed to determine how neck strength can help reduce the risk of brain trauma during falls for these age groups.

In summary, the majority of head impacts observed for both age groups studied involved very low strain magnitudes (<0.08). American youth football players aged 9–14 yrs. experienced a greater number of head impacts with maximum principal strain values between 0.08 and 0.169 when compared to the 5–9 yr. age group. This level of trauma is typically associated with asymptomatic head injuries. While there was no statistical significance in head impact frequency for the other magnitude categories or head impacts per game, the moderate and very high magnitude head impact frequencies were higher for the younger age group. Impacts at these magnitudes represented a risk for concussive injuries and were caused by a greater frequency of head-to-head impacts and greater velocities for head-to-ground impacts. The unique impact events creating head trauma in American youth football supports developing a youth-specific helmet.

Funding

This study was funded by the National Operating Committee on Safety of Athletic Equipment (NOCSAE).

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgement

This research was funded by the National Operating Committee on Safety of Athletic Equipment (NOCSAE). In addition, the authors would like to thank the Virginia Tech Department of Biomedical Engineering and Mechanics for providing some of the videos and Riddell for providing the helmets used in this study. The study sponsors had no involvement in the design, data collection, interpretation or writing of this manuscript.

References

- Abbas, K., Shenk, T., Poole, V., Robinson, M., Leverenz, L., Nauman, E., Talavage, T., 2015a. Effects of Repetitive Sub-Concussive Brain Injury on the Functional Connectivity of Default Mode Network in High School Football Athletes. Developmental Neuropsychology 40 (1), 51–56.
- Abbas, K., Shenk, T., Poole, V., Breedlove, E., Leverenz, L., Nauman, E., Talavage, T., Robinson, M., 2015b. Alteration of default mode network in high school football athletes due to repetitive subconcussive mild traumatic brain injury" a resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Brain Connect. 5 (2), 91–101.
- Ahmadzadeh, H., Smith, D., Shenoy, V., 2015. Mechanical effects of dynamic binding between tau proteins on microtubules during axonal injury. Biophys. J. 11, 2328–2337.
- Alosco, M., Kasimis, A., Stamm, J., Chua, A., Baugh, C., Daneshvar, D., Au, R., 2017. Age of first exposure to American football and long-term neuropsychiatric and cognitive outcomes. Transl. Psychiatry 7 (9), 1–8.
- Alosco, M., Koerte, I., Tripodis, Y., Mariani, M., Chua, A., Jarnagin, J., Stern, R., 2018. White matter signal abnormalities in former National Football League players. Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring 10, 56–65.
- Bahrami, N., Sharma, D., Rosenthal, S., Davenport, E., Urban, J., Wagner, B., Whitlow, C., 2016. Subconcussive head impact exposure and white matter tract changes over a single season of youth football. Radiology 281 (3), 919–926.
- Bain, A., Meaney, D., 2000. Tissue-level thresholds for axonal damage in an experimental model of central nervous system white matter injury. J. Biomech. 122, 615–622.
- Breedlove, K., Breedlove, E., Robinson, M., Poole, V., King III, J., Rosenberger, P., Rasmussen, M., Talavage, T., Leverenz, L., Nauman, E., 2014. Detecting neurocognitive and neurophysiological changes as a result of subconcussive blows among high school football athletes. Athletic Training & Sports Health Care 6 (3), 119–127.
- Campolettano, E., Gellner, R., Rowson, S., 2018. Relationship between Impact Velocity and Resulting Head Accelerations during Head Impacts in Youth Football. In: In Proceedings. International IRCOBI Conference on the Biomechanics of Impacts, p. 326.
- Chatelin, S., Vappou, J., Roth, S., Raul, J., Willinger, R., 2012. Towards child versus adult brain mechanical properties. J. Mech. Behaviour Biomed. Mater. 6, 166.
- Cobb, B., Urban, J., Davenport, E., Rowson, S., Duma, S.M., Stitzel, J., 2013. Head impact exposure in youth football, elementary school ages 9–12 years and the effect of practice structure. Ann. Biomed. Eng. 41 (12), 2463–2473.
- Cournoyer, J., Hoshizaki, T., 2019a. Abnormal motor response associated with concussive injuries: biomechanical comparison between impact seizures and loss of consciousness. Journal of Athletic Training, In press.
- Cournoyer, J., Hoshizaki, T., 2019b. Biomechanical comparison of concussions with and without a loss of consciousness in elite American football: implications for prevention. Sports Biomech. 1–17.
- Cournoyer, J., Koncan, D., Gilchrist, M., Hoshizaki, T., 2021. The influence of neck stiffness on head kinematics and maximum principal strain associated with youth American football collisions. J. App. Biomech. 1, 1–8.
- Daniel, R., Rowson, S., Duma, S., 2014. Head impact exposure in youth football: middle school ages 12–14 years. J. Biomech. Eng. 136 (9).
- Eckner, J., Oh, Y., Richardson, J., Ashton-Miller, J., 2014. Effect of neck muscle strength and anticipatory cervical muscle activation on the kinematic response of the head to impulsive loads. American Journal of Sport Medicine 42 (3).
- Foss, K., Yuan, W., Diekfuss, J., Leach, J., Meehan, W., DiCesare, C., Cortes, N., 2019. Relative head impact exposure and brain white matter alterations after a single season of competitive football: a pilot comparison of youth versus high school football. Clin. J. Sport Med. 29 (6), 442–450.
- Gourley, M., McLeod, T., Bay, R., 2010. Awareness and recognition of concussion by youth athletes and their parents. Athletic Training Sports Health Care 2 (5), 208–218.
- Horgan, T., Gilchrist, M., 2003. The creation of three-dimensional finite element models for simulating head impact biomechanics. Int. J. Crashworthiness 8 (4), 353–366. Jadischke, R., Viano, D., Dau, N., King, A., McCarthy, J., 2013. On the accuracy of the
- Jadischke, R., Viano, D., Dau, N., King, A., McCartuy, J., 2015. On the accuracy of the head impact telemetry (HIT) system used in football helmets. J. Biomech. 46, 2310–2315.
- Karton, C., Hoshizaki, T., Gilchrist, M., 2016. Frequency, magnitude, and rate of head impacts and risks to neurological disorders in sports. Proc. Traumatic Brain Injury: Clinical, Pathological and Translational Mechanisms Conference. Santa Fe, NM, USA.

J. Cournoyer et al.

Karton, C., Hoshizaki, T., Gilchrist, M., 2020. A novel repetitive head impact exposure measurement tool differentiates player position in National Football League. Sci. Rep. 10.

Kleiven, S., 2007. Predictors for traumatic brain injuries evaluated through accident reconstructions. Stapp Car Crash Journal 51, 81–114.

- Koncan, D., Gilchrist, M., Vassilyadi, M., Hoshizaki, T., 2019. A three-dimensional finite element model of a 6-yearoold child for simulating brain response from physical reconstructions of head impacts Proc. Institution of Mechanical Engineers. P: J. Sports Eng, Technol. 233 (2), 277–291.
- Maxwell, W., Povlishock, J., Graham, D., 1997. A mechanistic analysis of nondisruptive axonal injury: A review. J. Neurotrauma 14, 419–440.
- McCrea, M., Hammeke, T., Olsen, G., Leo, P., Guskiewicz, K., 2004. Unreported concussion in high school football players: implications for prevention. Clin. J. Sport Med. 14, 13–17.
- Meehan, W., Mannix, R., O'Brien, M., Collins, M., 2013. The prevalence of undiagnosed concussions in athletes. Clin. J. Sport Med. 23, 339–342.
- Mez, J., Daneshvar, D., Kiernan, P., Abdolmohammadi, B., Alvarez, V., Huber, B., Cormier, K.M., 2017. Clinicopathological evaluation of chronic traumatic encephalopathy in players of American football. J. Am. Med. Association 318 (4), 360–370.
- Nelhaus, G., 1968. Head circumference from birth to eighteen years: practical composite and interracial graphs. Pediatrics 41 (1), 106–114.
- NOCSAE, 2011. Standard Test Method and Equipment Used in Evaluating the Performance Characteristics of Protective Headgear/Equipment. National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment.
- Oliver, J., Jones, M., Kirk, K., Gable, D., Repshas, J., Johnson, T., Zetterberg, H., 2016. Serum neurofilament light in American football athletes over the course of a season. J. Neurotrauma 33 (19), 1784–1789.
- Padgaonkar, A., Krieger, K., King, A., 1975. Measurement of angular acceleration of a rigid body using linear accelerometers. J. Appl. Mech. 42 (3), 552–556.
- Patton, D., McIntosh, A., Kleiven, S., 2013. The biomechanical determinants of concussion: finite element simulations to investigate brain tissue deformations during sporting impacts to the unprotected head, J. App. Biomech. 29, 721–730.
- Poole, V., Breedlove, E., Shenk, T., Abbas, K., Robinson, M., Leverenz, L., Nauman, E., Dydak, U., Talavage, T., 2015. Sub-Concussive Hit Characteristics Predict Deviant
- Brain Metabolism in Football Athletes. Developmental Neuropsychol. 40 (1), 12–17. Post, A., Kendall, M., Koncan, D., Cournoyer, J., Hoshizaki, T., Gilchrist, M., Marshall, S., 2015. Characterization of persistent concussive syndrome using injury reconstruction and finite element modelling. J. Mech. Behavior Biomed. Mater. 41,
- 325–335.Post, A., Koncan, D., Kendall, M., Cournoyer, J., Clark, J., Kosziwka, G., Hoshizaki, T., 2018. Analysis of speed accuracy using video analysis software. In press, Sport engineering.

- Rizzone, K., Diamond, A., Gregory, A., 2013. Sideline coverage of youth football. Current sports Med. Reports 12 (3), 143–149.
- Rousseau, P., Hoshizaki, T., 2014. An analysis of concussion metrics associated with elite ice hockey elbow-to-head and shoulder-to-head collisions. PhD Thesis. University of Ottawa.
- Rousseau, P., Hoshizaki, T., 2015. Defining the effective impact mass of elbow and shoulder strikes in ice hockey. Sports Biomech. 14 (1), 57–67.
- Schultz, V., Stern, R., Tripodis, Y., Stamm, J., Wrobel, P.L., Weir, I., Baugh, C., 2018. Age at first exposure to repetitive head impacts is associated with smaller thalamic volumes in former professional American football players. J. Neurotrauma 35 (2), 278–285.
- Singh, A., Lu, Y., Chen, C., Kallakuri, S., Cavanaugh, J., 2006. A new model of traumatic axonal injury to determine the effects of strain and displacement rates. Stapp. Car Crash J. 50, 601–623.
- Stamm, J., Bourlas, A., Baugh, C., Fritts, N., Daneshvar, D., Martin, B., Stern, R., 2015a. Age of first exposure to football and later-life cognitive impairment in former NFL players. Neurology 84 (11), 1114–1120.
- Stamm, J., Koerte, I., Muehlmann, M., Pasternak, O., Bourlas, A., Shenton, M., 2015b. Age at first exposure to football is associated with altered corpus callosum white matter microstructure in former professional football players. J. Neurotrauma 32 (22), 1768–1776.
- Tsushima, W., Gelling, O., Oshiro, R., 2016. Are there subconcussive neuropsychological effects in youth sports? An exploratory study of high-and low-contact sports. Applied Neuropsychology: Child 5 (2), 149–155.
- Vickers, V., Stuart, H., 1943. Anthropometry in the pediatrician's office. Norms for selected body measurements based on studies of children of north European stock. J. Pediatrics 22, 155–170.
- Walsh, E., Kendall, M., Post, A., Meehan, A., Hoshizaki, T., 2018. Comparative analysis of Hybrid III neckform and an unbiased neckform. Sports Eng, 21 (4), 479–485.
- Young, T., Daniel, R., Rowson, S., Duma, S., 2014. Head Impact Exposure in Youth Football: Elementary School Ages 7–8 Years and the Effect of Returning Players. Clin. J. Sport Med. 24 (5), 416–421.
- Yuen, T., Browne, K., Iwata, A., Smith, D., 2009. Sodium channelopathy induced by mild axonal trauma worsens outcome after a repeat injury. J. Neurosci. Res. 87, 3620–3625.
- Zanetti, K., Post, A., Karton, C., Kendall, M., Hoshizaki, T., Gilchrist, M., 2013. Identifying risk profiles for three player positions in American football using physical and finite element modeling reconstructions. Gothenburg, Sweden.
- Zhang, L., Yang, K., King, A., 2004. A proposed threshold for mild traumatic brain injury. J. Biomech. Eng. 126 (2), 226–236.